

- 1 -

METHOD OF NORMALIZING SOFTWARE
USAGE DATA FROM MAINFRAME COMPUTERS

RELATED APPLICATION

This Application claims priority and is entitled to
5 the filing date of U.S. Provisional Application Serial
No. 60/188,380 filed March 10, 2000, and entitled
"METHOD OF NORMALIZING SOFTWARE USAGE DATA FROM
MAINFRAME COMPUTERS," the contents of the provisional
patent application are incorporated by reference herein.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In the area of computing, the performance of
software is highly dependent upon the performance (i.e.,
speed) of the hardware. Common benchmarks for hardware
15 performance are usually stated in terms of drystones,
whetstones, Millions of Instructions Per Second (MIPS),
Million Service Units (MSU), etc. The values derived are
highly influenced by various factors including the
processor, memory size and speed, cache memory, hardware
20 peripherals, bus speed, operating system, etc.

Thus, for a given computer system, the typical
method for comparing the usage of one or more software
products is usually established relative to that
configuration. Hence, a usage factor obtained for a
25 software product on one computer system, all other
conditions being equal, may be dramatically different

when run on a different computer system. For example, a product taking 100 CPU-seconds on a 300 MIPS processor may use only 75 on a 400 MIPS system for the very same processing task.

5 XSLM-compliant licensing systems collect and record data about the usage of the licensed products and relevant events related to license management. Other products, such as SoftAudit from Isogon and FlexLM from Globetrotter, collect usage data, provide usage
10 statistics, and produce reports. None of these systems and products provides a means for the user to compare usage in a dynamically changing environment.

 LicensePower/MVS from Isogon provides the user with the ability to "scale" usage statistics however, such
15 measurements are for static configurations. The user must manually select the time intervals of choice (hour, day, week, or month) and the appropriate scale factors that are to be applied for each computer system.

 For the most part, products that collect and report
20 usage statistics do so for static configurations and other products that report on environmental changes (of the computing system) do so independently of one another. This is illustrated in prior art Figure 1.

 System 10 is the usage data auditing and collection
25 system, Isogon's SoftAudit product being an example thereof. The system 10 is juxtaposed to the system 30 in Figure 1 which is used for measuring the capacity of a computer over time. The typical software usage monitoring system includes a first facility 12 which

collects software usage data and stores it in a usage log 14. The block 16 extracts usage data and stores software product usage in a log 18. The block 20 generates various reports on software product usage.

5 In the system 30, the first software block 32 waits for changes in capacity to occur. As changes occur, they are detected and indications thereof are noted as "events" which are stored at step 34 in event log 36. A capacity report is then produced by the capacity report
10 generator 38, which defines how and when the capacity (i.e., performance characteristics of the computer) has changed over selected time periods. In the prior art, the outputs and functionalities of the systems 10 and 30 have not been interfaced or correlated with one another.

15 Thus, in an environment where computer systems are partitioned (i.e., S/390 LPARs or contain multiple processors) and the capacity and number of the different partitions within these system can be dynamically changed as processing needs change, the measurement and
20 comparison of software usage and licensing fees (which are often based on the computing capacity of the computer on which the software will run) may become skewed as these changes in computing capacities occur.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25 Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide a means of extracting data regarding the change in computing capacity from various information logs; to generate output records of this

data; to provide this data to other programs; and to perform various statistical and normalization calculations and report the results.

5 It is another object of the present invention to combine computing capacity data with software usage data produced by other programs; to generate output records of this combined data; to perform calculations that normalize the usage data; to provide this data to other programs; and to perform various statistical and
10 normalization calculations and report the results.

It is yet another object of the present invention to generate output data records in a format that is compatible with the reporting programs of the products that produced the original software usage data so that
15 they may be used to produce reports using normalized usage data.

The aforementioned and other objects of the invention are realized by an aggregation of software programs which carry out a variety of tasks that obtain
20 results that are usable both independently and in combination. Thus, the present invention employs a first software program which runs substantially continuously on a computer and which monitors and records data that provides a measure of the capacity of
25 the computer over specified time periods. This information is useful by itself or as input to other programs that perform various statistical and normalization calculations on the results.

In a further developed construction of the

invention, the results obtained by the first software program are provided to a software program usage monitor that gathers information about the usage of software products on the computer, the results of both programs
5 being combined and normalized to restate software program usage data in a manner that reflects changes in computer capacity over time. As an option, the restated usage data is cast in a form and format that is compatible with the existing format of the software
10 program usage reports.

Other features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following description of the invention which refers to the accompanying drawings.

15 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a block diagram of software product usage monitoring and computer capacity tracking programs.

20 Figure 2 is a flow chart illustrating the normalization of computer product usage data relative to computer capacity event data.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION

Hereinafter, the term "computing index" or "CI" means or represents a measure of the processing power of
25 a computer or CPU. Typical computing indices are a combination of one or more of MIPS, MSUs, CPU speed, number of processors, drystones, whetstones, Model Group

or other such indices. The description below refers at times to software elements that are identified by the numerals appearing in Figures 1 and 2.

5 The licensing fees charged by vendors for software used by large data centers is most often based upon the size of the CPU that the software is run on. Users are either charged a fixed amount according to which of their CPUs fall into a particular class (more commonly known as a "model group") or, they are charged according to the speed (MIPS rating) of a specific CPU. There is no common basis or standard definition of a model group. Each vendor may establish his own model group pricing schedules separate and apart from what other vendors may use. For example, ABC Corp. may list seven processor groups for software product covering all HAL processors and another company may define twenty groups for those same processors.

15 The present invention consists of a number of components that can be assembled in building-block fashion such as a single program containing the functionality of one or more of the components; as separate programs that are independently executed; as a program that executes as the result of an API (Application Program Interface) call from one of the other components; as an exit routine from another component; or as combinations of the above.

25 **Knowledge Base (KB):** The KB 42 is a list or database that correlates various computing indices according to any of CPU, CPU to Manufacturer, Vendor to

Vendor's Model Group, etc. For example, if an information log lists the CPU as being a HAL-1000, the KB entry for that CPU will contain the appropriate CI for that model and other relevant information. Table 1 is a sample of what information might be contained in the KB.

For example, as demonstrated by the presence of values for MIPS, et al. or other means (not shown), the HAL-1000 CPU is manufactured by the HAL Computer Corp. and is designated as belonging to their Model Group A. Two other vendors, ABC Corp. and XYZ Software designate the same CPU as belonging to their Model Groups C and D, respectively.

Access to information in the KB 42 database can be made directly or, for example, through an API call to a process that first extracts and then returns the appropriate information. In the latter case, various types of API calls can be made that if supplied, for example, with the CPU model number, return the CI in MIPS; the model group applicable to the supplied CPU; etc.

Table 1 - Sample Knowledge Base

CPU	MIPS	MSU	LPAR	Manufacturer	Model Group
HAL-1000	150	175	1	HAL Computer Corp.	A
HAL-1000				ABC Corp.	C
HAL-1000				XYZ Software	D
HAL-2000	210	260	1	HAL Computer Corp.	C

HAL-2000				ABC Corp.	E
HAL-2000				XYZ Software	H
HAL-9000	20000	35000	64	HAL Computer	S
				Corp.	

Capacity Data Extractor (CE): The CE 30 (Figure 1) is a facility which extracts information regarding changes in computing capacity that has been separately gathered and recorded in information logs by a monitoring program, the operating system, Technical License Managers (TLMs) and other programs as appropriate. The information logs may contain specific fields for capacity information, a sequential stream of text messages, or other known formats. Using heuristics and other techniques, the CE 30 interprets these messages and fields to extract the appropriate information. The CE 30 will, according to user-specified parameters:

- apply a filter to the capacity information data;
- returns a CI or other such capacity information that corresponds to the earliest extracted event, e.g., the MIPS value that was in effect for the very first extracted event;
- optionally uses the knowledge base 42 to lookup and substitute the appropriate CI for the CPU model or other identifying data extracted from the information logs;
- performs user-specified calculations and outputs data records of those calculations;
- outputs data records of (raw) computing capacity

event data

Furthermore, the user can provide extraction
(filter) specifications such as:

- a particular computer system, CPU or LPAR;
- 5 • a particular location or enterprise;
- a period of time

Optionally, the CE 30:

- extracts and returns or stores data in response to
an API call from another process
- 10 • extracts and processes data as a exit routine from
another process
- stores output data in a file or database according
to a user-specified format such as comma separated
variables (CSV), tab separated variables (TSV),
15 plain text, XML, etc.
- accesses the information logs of one or more
computer systems from a remote location using a
communication network or dial-up access.
- accesses the information logs from one or more
20 remote computer systems which have been downloaded
to the computer system upon which the CE 30
executes.
- sends extracted data to another computing facility,
for example, a central clearinghouse of such data.

25 Minimally, each CE output data record contains the
timestamp (date and time or at least date) of the event
and the new computing index. Optionally, other relevant
information that is output includes the identity of the
computer system, processor, LPAR, location, software

product, etc. If this information is not contained within the information log, the CE 30 provides this using data from other system configuration records or a user-provided configuration list. For example, a minimal
5 record contains only two fields: TIMESTAMP and CI. A more detailed record contains fields such as TIMESTAMP, CI, PRODUCTNAME, USAGE, LPAR, and LOCATION.

When the CE 30 encounters an event in the capacity information log that is not an actual CI, such as CPU
10 model, it substitutes the appropriate computing index to the output record by using the knowledge base (KB) 42 which also correlates various computing indices to CPU, CPUs to model groups, etc. For example, if the information log (see Table 2) reflects that the HAL-1000
15 has been upgraded to the HAL-2000, the CE 30 looks up in the KB 42 the MIPS computing index of the HAL-2000 which is 210 MIPS and outputs that as an event record in the event log 36.

Table 2 - Sample Capacity Information Log for a Multi-computer Installation

	Timestamp	System	LPAR	Info
20	1/1/99	Groucho	1	HAL-1000 Installed
	00:15			
	6/15/99	Groucho	1	HAL-2000 Upgrade Completed
	15:11			
25	6/17/99	Atlas	1	IBM 3090/500J De-installed
	12:01			
	6/20/99	Groucho	1	MIPS increased to 225
	00:53			

	6/22/99	Harpo	1	HAL-1000 Installed
	11:11			
	6/25/99	Groucho	1	MIPS increased to 250
	00:00			
5	7/1/99	Groucho	0	HAL-9000 Installed
	16:12			

10 For example, the information stored in a system configuration log (Table 2) may contain information regarding the addition or removal of processors or the change in processing capacity of one or more computer systems, one or more logical partitions within one or more computers, or a network or sysplex of computers. The user may desire to output the raw capacity event data; or produce certain capacity statistics such as

15 average CI, high watermark CI, number of CPUs, etc. each filtered according to user-specified parameters. Such statistics may be given over a user-selected period of time such as, for example, average daily value for each day, monthly high watermark value, average high

20 watermark for each month in the time period, or second-highest average daily value over a period of days.

By way of example, Table 3 is a sample of the CE output data records produced from the information logs in Table 2 for the ABC Corp. on the "Groucho" system for

25 the month of June, 1999. Note that the CE has performed the appropriate substitutions from the KB - provided a first record reflecting the CI in effect at the beginning of the time period, provided the CI in effect for each event, and inserted the appropriate "ABC model

Table 3 - Sample CE Output Records for ABC Corp. on Groucho 6/1/99

10

15

25

- applying a filter to the usage data;
- combining, as appropriate, the usage data from each of the information logs; and/or

- generating output records of the raw combined data
The user can provide extraction specifications

(filters) such as:

- a particular computer system, CPU or LPAR;
- 5 • a particular location or enterprise;
- a particular software product and/or version; or
all software products;
- a set of software products optionally, of a
specific version;
- 10 • licensed software products;
- products by vendor;
- a user or group of users; and/or
- a period of time
Optionally, the UE 10:
- 15 • extracts and returns or stores data in response to
an API call from another process;
- extracts and processes data as an exit routine from
another process;
- stores output data in a file or database according
20 to a user-specified format such as comma separated
variables (CSV), tab separated variables, plain
text, XML, etc.;
- accesses the usage information logs of one or more
computer systems from a remote location using a
25 communication network or dial-up access;
- accesses the usage information logs from one or
more remote computer systems which have been
downloaded to the computer system upon which the UE
10 executes; and/or

- sends extracted data to another computing facility, e.g., a central clearinghouse of such data.

Carrying the preceding sample further, the UE 10 is tasked with extracting all usage information for the Groucho system; for the month of June, 1999; on a daily basis; for all software products from ABC Corp. A sample of the results is shown in Table 4.

Table 4 - Sample UE Output

	Timestamp	Product	Users	Jobs	CPU
					seconds
10	6/1/99	ABCview	2	14	43.3
	6/1/99	ABCAudit	1	2	3219.1
	6/2/99	ABCAudit	1	1	21
	6/16/99	ABCview	4	23	18
	6/29/99	ABCAudit	1	2	1421
15	6/29/99	ABCview	3	27	21

Data Combiner (DC): The DC 55 is a facility which first merges capacity event data extracted by the CE 30 with software product usage data that has been extracted by the UE 10 and then performs various calculations (such as normalization) and outputs the data according to user-specifications.

The DC 55 operates on the two types of data by:

- combining usage data with computing capacity event data, optionally, generating output records of the raw combined data;
- optionally, sorting, correlating, filtering and performing various user-specified calculations and generating output records of those calculations;

- optionally, generating output records in the very same format as the software usage reporting program(s) that originally created the usage data with the appropriate usage fields having been replaced by normalized numbers

Optionally, the DC:

- storing output data in a file or database according to a user-specified format such as CSV, TSV, plain text, XML, etc.
- sending output data to another computing facility, e.g., a central clearinghouse of such data.

As already noted, an optional facility of the DC 55 is to generate output records in a format that is compatible with various software usage reporting programs (such as SoftAudit's REPORTER) that originally created the usage data with the appropriate usage fields having been replaced by normalized numbers. Thus, the normalized usage log can then be used by whatever processes would otherwise have been used by the original usage log. Programs such as REPORTER generate reports by reading usage data from files that have been prepared in a specified format, typically by another program from the same vendor. Under user-control, the DC 55 generates output records in the very same format with the appropriate usage fields having been replaced by normalized numbers. Using the DC generated normalized usage records as input, the reporting program generates reports that reflect normalized statistics. For example, if the CPU-seconds field is replaced by normalized

values, the output report presents a uniform measure of software usage.

Normalization: Various methods are available to the DC 55 and UR 20 for normalizing usage data using a computing index such as processor speed (e.g., MIPS, total MIPS, MSUs, etc.), number of logical partitions (LPARs), LPAR capacity (MIPS, memory size, etc.), number of processors, or other physical characteristics and configuration settings.

For example, if a baseline of 150 MIPS is established for performance and job accounting analysis then, for any processor or LPAR, the normalized number (XCS) of CPU-seconds used by a job is calculated according to the formula:

$$\text{XCS} = \text{CPU-seconds} \times \text{MIPS} / 150$$

Hence, if a job is run in an LPAR having a 150 MIPS capacity one day and, 200 MIPS capacity the next, the normalized usage (XCS) will provide the user with a consistent measure of resource usage.

Other methods of normalization and processing CI and usage data over a period of time include running averages, high watermark usage, user-MIPS (product of current number of users and MIPS), etc.

Optionally, the user may specify a formula to be used in the normalization and output of usage data. The formula can specify how the data in certain DC fields are to be used; various scaling factors such as cost/cpu-second; and how to normalize data for specific instances, e.g., according to a specific LPAR or

LOCATION.

Carrying the preceding example further, the DC combines the CE and UE output data, Tables 3 and 4, respectively, and applies the above formula to normalize the CPU-seconds data. The output of the DC (Table 5) is in a format compatible with SoftAudit's REPORTER program. Note that the normalized data is reflected in the last three entries.

Table 5 - Normalized Output Usage Data

10	Timestamp	Product	Users	Jobs	Normalized CPU seconds
	6/1/99	ABCview	2	14	43.3
	6/1/99	ABCAudit	1	2	3219.1
	6/2/99	ABCAudit	1	1	21
	6/16/99	ABCview	4	23	25.2
15	6/29/99	ABCAudit	1	2	2368.3
	6/29/99	ABCview	3	27	35

Usage Reporter (UR): The UR 20 is a process which uses DC output data to sort, correlate, consolidate, summarize, format and output reports that have normalized usage statistics based upon user-specified parameters and formulae. As the data is read, the UR 20 computes the appropriate usage statistics applying the current capacity index factors. If an event denotes a change in a capacity factor, the UR 20 may note that in the output report and then apply the new capacity factors in its calculations.

For example, the user can specify that the UR 20 generate a report based upon a user-specified Model

Group such as a CI in the range of 0-100 MIPS is Model Group A, 100-150 MIPS is Model Group B, etc.

Accordingly, minor changes in CI are reported in favor of cumulative changes in capacity that cross from one Model Group factor to another.

For completeness and summary, Figure 1 illustrates the usage report 10 and its constituent components including a section 12 that collects software usage data and stores the raw information in a usage log 14. The component 16 extracts some of the data, stores it in a software usage log 18 and the reporter 20 generates the standardized reports, as in the prior art exemplified by the 5,590,056 patent.

The capacity extractor 30 includes the component 32 which waits for a change in capacity to occur and stores at component 34 the event information in an information log and then stores events in an event log 36, using the generator 38 to generate capacity reports.

The functions of the elements 10 and 30 in Figure 1 are combined in Figure 2 in a system according to the invention which uses a modified usage extractor 44 that extracts usage data from the usage log 14 as indicated by component 46 and applies various filtering and selection criteria as indicated by component 48.

Simultaneously, the capacity extractor 50 accesses the event log 36 and the knowledge base 42 which contains various correlation data to obtain or extract capacity event data as indicated by element 52. The filter 54 reduces that data which is then combined with

data obtained from the usage extractor 44 in the data
combiner 55 which includes the component 56 which
combines and normalizes data. The component 58 then
applies further filtering and the outputter 60 outputs
5 normalized data records to a normalized usage data log
64 as well as to a software usage log 14a. The element
62 can generate separate normalized data reports}.
However, the same information can be obtained from the
software usage log 14a and used by a standard reporter
10 program 20a which generates reports from a conventional
usage extractor program 10, such as Isogon's SoftAudit
product, which is illustrated in Figure 1.

Although the present invention has been described
in relation to particular embodiments thereof, many
15 other variations and modifications and other uses will
become apparent to those skilled in the art. It is
preferred, therefore, that the present invention be
limited not by the specific disclosure herein, but only
by the appended claims.